

Message

From: Barrette, Michael [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=237B7449B0084C8FAEA3A38D2BB31EA2-MBARRE04]
Sent: 11/7/2019 3:12:44 PM
To: Montilla, Alex [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=b148b5335ff44aea8970035668052f01-Montilla, Alex]; Buckley, Timothy [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=197a3461d9824a17850f34cc2b0b37fe-Buckley, Timothy]; Gillespie, Andrew [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=dce99ece87694a06b3009d7756e2a89e-Gillespie, Andrew]
CC: Scheitlin, Tom [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=user5f1cea1a]; Blancato, Jerry [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=232de363dadb4cd9961900e10f56fddf-Blancato, Jerry]
Subject: RE: OW Meeting on Places of Interest

Just want to be sure that everyone is aware that Hannah agreed to the process outlined in the existing data standard/FAQ (and being the data steward).

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Because there are only about 30-40 DW places of interest, I'm hopeful ORD could do the first data call if OW won't. I send some more detailed ideas to Alex, but my sense is that the compilation work could be handled by the contractors.

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

From: Montilla, Alex <Montilla.Alex@epa.gov>
Sent: Thursday, November 07, 2019 9:57 AM
To: Barrette, Michael <Barrette.Michael@epa.gov>; Buckley, Timothy <Buckley.Timothy@epa.gov>; Gillespie, Andrew <Gillespie.Andrew@epa.gov>
Cc: Scheitlin, Tom <Scheitlin.Tom@epa.gov>; Blancato, Jerry <Blancato.Jerry@epa.gov>
Subject: OW Meeting on Places of Interest

Good Morning Everyone,

I attended the meeting with Hannah Holsinger and Ryan Albert from OW this morning. They conveyed their concerns with the manner we are soliciting Places of Interest (POI) data, in essence putting OW as what they feel is the one of the key faces for the data collection. They, like all others, have resource limitations which they are afraid will not allow them to serve in a central role as a National Data Steward. They've asked me to 'think' about other ways they can help us. I've asked for a follow up meeting with all of you. Albert mentioned a meeting next week that Andy G. and Mike B. will be attending. They aim to address this issue in that forum. The main theme is data collection and maintenance of the POI data. They've experienced challenges with the regions collecting this data and see this most recent data call as an enormous effort for which the bandwidth does not exist for them or the regions to support. I conveyed my belief that our current data collection strategy was intent on using existing PFAS data collection processes by tapping into existing data call networks used by programs (i.e. OW, OLEM) and regions. I also mentioned to them ORD/OSIM's dependence on PFAS subject matter experts to curate the data prior to it's consolidation into a master list for upload into the National PFAS Data Explorer. While they understand our dilemma, they still do not believe they are able to serve as a National Data Steward. Lastly, while they believe this project to be an important effort, they don't believe it to be a priority (my word) meriting the level of resource commitments needed to our PFAS data collection effort now or in longer term.

Thanks,

Alex

